



**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

In re

RICHARD ISAAC FINE,

Debtor(s).

BK. No. LA 02-37680-BB

Chapter 7

**AMENDED ORDER GRANTING IN
PART AND DENYING IN PART
MOTION OF A-1 BUSINESS
PRODUCTS, INC. FOR STAY
PENDING APPEAL**

(No Hearing Requested)

The Court having reviewed and considered the motion of A-1 Business Products Inc. ("A-1") for a stay pending appeal of the Court's April 22, 2005 "Order re Motion for Order: (1) Approving Compromise of Controversies with Members of the Class Action in the Paul Di Flores, et al. v. EHG National Health Services Case, No. BC 150607, Pending in the Superior Court, State of California, County of Los Angeles; (2) Approving Form of Settlement Agreement and Mutual General Releases; and (3) Granting Relief from Automatic Stay" (the "April 22 Order"), hereby finds as follows:

1. Contrary to A-1's contention, it was appropriate for the Court to grant the trustee's motion for approval of a compromise (the "Motion") under 11 U.S.C. § 363(f) in that:

1 a. the parties specifically discussed and the Court explicitly considered
2 whether the compromise should be treated and approved as a sale under section 363(f)
3 of the Bankruptcy Code at the time of hearing on the Motion, objecting parties
4 specifically briefed these issues, and no party in interest requested that additional time
5 be given to brief such issues notwithstanding the fact that they were not raised in the
6 original moving papers;

7 b. no party in interest was unfairly surprised or prejudiced by the Court's
8 resolution of issues under section 363(f) at the time of hearing on the Motion or in the
9 text of the April Order in that the Court itself noted the applicability of section 363(f) and
10 the need for the Motion to pass muster under this section in the tentative ruling that it
11 issued on the Motion in connection with the February 23, 2005 hearing thereon, which
12 tentative ruling provided in pertinent part:

13 Therefore, it is correct to analogize this motion, as have the secured creditors, to
14 a motion to sell the estate's interest in the proceeds generated by the settlement of this
15 class action free and clear of their liens. However, the trustee seeks an order clarifying
16 that these funds are free and clear funds of the estate. She is not proposing to leave
17 these funds in an interest-bearing account with the liens to attach to the proceeds while
18 the Court resolves any questions that may exist as to the validity/priority/amount of the
19 liens. This is inappropriate and does not provide secured creditors whose liens have
20 not been avoided with adequate protection for the value of their interests in Mr. Fine's
21 recovery in the class action.

22 c. the Court did in fact make findings at the April 6, 2005 hearing on the
23 Motion as to the propriety of a sale free and clear of secured creditors liens under
24 section 363(f), finding that it was appropriate to authorize such a sale under section
25 363(f)(5).

26 2. Although the record contained sufficient evidence for the Court to make a
good faith finding under section 363(m) at the time of hearing on the Motion and the
Court did make sufficient factual findings at the hearing on the Motion to support such a
finding, the trustee did not request such a finding until shortly before the conclusion of

1 the final hearing on the Motion, leaving limited opportunity for A-1 or other objecting
2 parties to respond thereto.

3 3. In light of the foregoing, the Court believes that there is some prospect that A-
4 1 may prevail on the merits of its appeal of the portion of the April Order that includes a
5 good faith finding under section 363(m). (The Court does not believe that there is a
6 reasonable prospect or a substantial possibility that A-1 will prevail on the merits of any
7 other argument that it has raised on appeal.)

8 4. Absent a stay pending appeal of the portion of the April Order that makes
9 section 363(m) applicable, A-1 may suffer irreparable injury pending the resolution of its
10 appeal of the April Order.

11 5. The issuance of a stay pending appeal of the portion of the April Order that
12 contains a finding under section 363(m) will not impose a cognizable hardship on other
13 interested parties.

14 In light of the foregoing, **IT IS ORDERED** as follows:

15 1. A-1's motion for a stay pending appeal of the April Order is hereby granted
16 solely with respect to the effectiveness of paragraph 17 of the April Order. The
17 effectiveness of paragraph 17 is hereby stayed, pending the outcome of A-1's appeal of
18 this portion of the April Order.

19 2. In all other respects, A-1's motion for a stay pending appeal is denied.

20 DATED: 05/05/05

21 /s/
SHERI BLUEBOND
22 United States Bankruptcy Judge
23
24
25
26

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

MAY 06 2005

I certify that a true copy of this **ORDER** was mailed on
to the parties listed below:

Office of the United States Trustee
725 S. Figueroa, 26th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Debtor

Richard I. Fine
LAW OFFICES OF RICHARD I. FINE
& ASSOCIATES
468 North Camden Drive, Suite 200
Beverly Hills, CA 90210

Counsel for Winston Financial Group

Robert P. Goe
Marc C. Forsythe
Elizabeth A. Larocque
GOE & FORSYTHE LLP
660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 320
Newport Beach, CA 92660

Counsel for the Class Plaintiffs

John A. Moe
LUCE FORWARD HAMILTON & SCRIPPS
777 S. Figueroa St., Suite 3600
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Scott E. Shapiro
LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT E. SHAPIRO,
A Professional Corporation
17337 Ventura Blvd., Suite 200
Encino, CA 91316

Chapter 7 Trustee

Carolyn A. Dye
LAW OFFICES OF CAROLYN A. DYE
1925 Century Park East, #1150
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2712

Counsel for Premium Financial Services

Leslie A. Cohen
LINER YANKELEVITZ SUNSHINE &
REGENSTREIF LLP
1100 Glendon Ave., 14th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90024-3503

Counsel for Chapter 7 Trustee

Sharon Z. Weiss
Julie A. Page
Jacquelyn H. Choi
Leonard Pena
Weinstein, Eisen & Weiss LLP
1925 Century Park East, Suite 1150
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2712

Counsel for Joseph Zilber

Albert Solochech
HOWARD SOLOCHEK & WEBER
324 E. Wisconsin Ave., Suite 1100
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4309

Howard D. Myers
GOLDSMITH & HULL
A Professional Corporation
16000 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1600
Encino, CA 91436

Counsel for Bay Area Financial

Scott C. Clarkson
Eva A. Marsella
CLARKSON GORE & MARSELLA
3424 Carson Street, Suite 350
Torrance, CA 90503

Counsel for California National Bank

David Leventhal
LAW OFFICES OF DAVID LEVENTHAL &
ASSOCIATES
24300 Town Center Drive, Suite 240
Santa Clarita, CA 91355

Counsel for American Express Travel

Michael S. Rogovian
BECKETT & LEE LLP
P.O. Box 3001
Department U
Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355-0701

1 **Counsel for The Summit Above Beverly Hills**

Wayne M. Smith
2 c/o Warner Bros.
4000 Warner Blvd., Suite 5158
3 Warner Brothers Building 156
Burbank, CA 91522

4 **Counsel for Bank of the West**

5 Martina A. Rider
HEMAR RUSSO & HERALD LLP
6 15910 Ventura Blvd., Twelfth Floor
Encino, CA 91436

7 **Counsel for The Reddi Brake Class Action**

8 John A. Case Jr.
LAW OFFICES OF JOHN A. CASE JR.
9 1880 Century Park East, Suite 516
Los Angeles, CA 90067

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 DATED:

MAY 06 2005


DEPUTY CLERK